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# Great Ideas

What *could* we do next?

Warren Bennis proclaims that the “single defining quality of leaders is the capacity to create and realize a vision.”[[1]](#endnote-1) Indeed, superior leaders are often synonymous with great visionaries, as in the case of Walt Disney and Winston Churchill. Further proving vision’s validity, research confirms that 88 percent of executives use vision to guide their firms.[[2]](#endnote-2) Therefore, this report seeks to empower our company with a strategic process that enables us to better articulate our vision.

## Vision Statement

To paint a picture of the *best* future, leaders must consider what is achievable based on what holds the organization back and what propels it forward.[[3]](#endnote-3) Brainstorming around these issues will identify our driving forces. Subsequently, we will generate a new vision statement, as well as several other ideas that might be advantageous strategies.[[4]](#endnote-4)

### Ideate

The first step to determine our vision is ideation. Because this process is so vital, we used four tools to develop a myriad of options that the organization collected and prioritized: Stakeholders, BOBs, Stop Fix, and Great Questions..

#### Stakeholders

Who are our internal and external stakeholders? Identifying these key constituents is an important part of the strategic process. Once established, strategic planners should identify the goals and influence of each group.[[5]](#endnote-5) We summarized our identified stakeholders below:

| Stakeholder Analysis | | | |
| --- | --- | --- | --- |
| Stakeholder | Principal Goals | Interest | Influence |
| Theatre Patrons: 25,000 in the Chicago metropolitan area | Experience excellent and enlightening theatre | Mid | High |
| Staff: 13 nonprofit employees | Valuable work experience and financial compensation | Mid | High |
| Company Artists: 40 actors, artisans, and directors | Enhanced artistic experience and stake in the organization | High | Low |
| Funders: foundation, corporate, government and individual donors | Return on investment | High | High |
| Board: 21 Chicago-area members | Ensure proper governing of theatre | Mid | High |
| CPS Students: 500 | Become better students using drama-based strategies | Mid | Low |
| Partners: space rental | Generate a portion of revenue from the theatre’s audience | Low | Low |

URTurn: Complete the chart below with your agency’s stakeholders and their respective goals and influence. Once you’ve done this, delete the example table above and these directions.

| Stakeholder Analysis | | | |
| --- | --- | --- | --- |
| Stakeholder | Principal Goals | Interest | Influence |
|  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |

To prioritize communication strategy with stakeholders, Bryson’s influence versus interest grid will allow us to understand our constituents and the level at which information must be managed.[[6]](#endnote-6) To do so, we inserted the stakeholders into the tool below:

| Stakeholder Management | |
| --- | --- |
| High Influence – Low Interest | High Influence – High Interest |
| Keep Satisfied   * Theatre patrons; staff; board | Manage Closely   * Funders |
|
| Low Influence – Low Interest | Low Influence – High Interest |
| Monitor   * CPS students | Keep Informed   * Company artists; space-rental partner |

URTurn: Complete the chart below with your agency’s stakeholders and their interest. Once you’ve done this, delete the example table above and these directions.

| Stakeholder Management | |
| --- | --- |
| High Influence – Low Interest | High Influence – High Interest |
| Keep Satisfied | Manage Closely |
|
| Low Influence – Low Interest | Low Influence – High Interest |
| Monitor | Keep Informed |

Using the information we discovered about stakeholders and their varying interest, we brainstormed the following ideas to help us better serve these different groups:

| Stakeholders Ideas | |
| --- | --- |
| External Stakeholders | Internal Stakeholders |
| * Implement a box office and make exterior look more like a theatre  (to ease patron confusion) * Provide annual reports to funders * Invite major funders to opening performances and rehearsals | * Increase administrative space * Search for new hires with diverse backgrounds * Invest in staff human resource benefits |

URTurn: Complete the chart below with your agency’s stakeholder ideas. Once you’ve done this, delete the example table above and these directions.

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| Stakeholders Ideas | |
|  |  |

#### BOBs

Investigating the best of the best (BOBs) in our industry reveals our competitor’s strengths and stimulates ideas for our own organization.[[7]](#endnote-7) We could have examined numerous competitors, but the trick is choosing ones that truly excel at similar things that our business is trying to accomplish and that are financially strong. Below is a chart that compares our agency to two others:

|  | Best of the Best |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
| A Stage | BOB 1 | BOB 2 |
|  | Lines of Business |  |
| * Annual Season of 4 Plays | * Annual Season, 8-9 Plays | * Annual Season, 8-9 Plays |
| * Subscribers | * Subscribers | * Subscribers |
| * Young Audience Program | * Student Subscriptions | * Young Professionals |
| * Student Programming | * General Theatre Studies | * Young Playwrights |
| * Scholar Program | * Audience Discussions | * Post-Show Discussions |
| * Company Artists | * The Artistic Collective | * Acting Company |
| * Research (Dramaturgy) | * Creative Partners | * Conservatory |
| * New Work Reading Series | * New Work Readings |  |
| * Annual Fund | * Annual Fund | * Annual Fund |
| * Special Events | * Special Events | * Special Events |
| * Sponsorship | * Sponsorship | * Sponsorship |
| * Foundation Support | * Foundation Support | * Foundation Support |
|  | * Planned Giving | * Planned Giving |
|  | * Adult Writing Workshop | * Capital Giving |
|  | * Youth Arts Council | * Student Matinees |
|  | * Access Performances | * Access Performances |
|  | Financials |  |
| Revenue: 1,360,995 | 23,291,558 | 15,388,217 |
| Expenses: 1,371,786 | 23,107,444 | 15,338,000 |
| Net Revenue: -10,791 | 184,114 | 49,417 |
| Net Assets: 632,951 | 38,715,519 | 43,375,356 |
| *We have*, but they don’t | *They have*, but we don’t | *They have*, but we don’t |
| * Chicago’s only theatre devoted to work inspired by history | * One of the nation’s largest and oldest nonprofit theatres * National and international recognition | * One of the nation’s most successful regional theatres * Revenue-generating education programs |

URTurn: Complete the chart below with your agency’s BOBs comparison. Once you’ve done this, delete the example table above and these directions.

|  | Best of the Best |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
| Your Agency | BOB 1 | BOB 2 |
| Major Lines of Business | Major Lines of Business | Major Lines of Business |
|  |  |  |
| Financials | Financials |  |
| Revenue: xxx,xxxx,xxx | xxx,xxxx,xxx | xxx,xxxx,xxx |
| Expenses: xxx,xxxx,xxx | xxx,xxxx,xxx | xxx,xxxx,xxx |
| Net Revenue: xxx,xxxx,xxx | xxx,xxxx,xxx | xxx,xxxx,xxx |
| Net Assets: xxx,xxxx,xxx | xxx,xxxx,xxx | xxx,xxxx,xxx |
| We have, but they don’t | They have, but we don’t | They have, but we don’t |
|  |  |  |

Learning from the best in our industry, we generated the following additional ideas for our own agency:

| BOBs Ideas | |
| --- | --- |
| * Increase season of work * Raise endowment funds * Create a festival | * Have teachers, students, subscribers and donors write monthly blog * Start a theatre camp |

URTurn: Complete the chart below. Once you’ve done this, delete the example table above and these directions.

| BOBs Ideas | |
| --- | --- |
|  |  |

#### Stop Fix

In the Great Start process, our agency learned that choosing what *not* to do is essential to strategy.[[8]](#endnote-8) Therefore, it is crucial to consider what to stop or fix. In building an ideal portfolio of LOBs, we must strive to maintain attractive programs with a strong position. These robust programs should support mission-critical endeavors that are less attractive.[[9]](#endnote-9) In the table below, the MacMillan Product Matrix[[10]](#endnote-10) analyzes the organization’s current portfolio in terms of attractiveness, alternative coverage, and competitive position:

|  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
|  | MacMillan Matrix | | | |
|  | 1. Program Attractiveness | | | |
|  | High | | Low | |
|  | * Annual Season * Subscription * Fundraising | * Lobby Displays * Research |  | * Company Artists |
|  | 2. Alternative Coverage | | | |
|  | High | Low | High | Low |
| 3. Strong Competitive Position | **Aggressive Competition** | **Aggressive Growth** | **Build Up Best Competitor** | **Soul of the Agency** |
| * Annual Season * Subscription * Fundraising | * Lobby Displays * Research |  | * Company Artists |
| 4. Weak Competitive Position | **Aggressive Divestment** | **Build Strength or Sell Out** | **Orderly Divestment** | **Foreign Aid, Joint Venture** |
|  | * Programming for audiences under 35 * Scholar Sessions | * New Work Reading Series |  |

URTurn: Complete the chart below. Once you’ve done this, delete the example table above and these directions.

|  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
|  | MacMillan Matrix | | | |
|  | 1. Program Attractiveness | | | |
|  | High | | Low | |
|  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 2. Alternative Coverage | | | |
|  | High | Low | High | Low |
|  |  |  |  |  |
| 3. Strong Competitive Position | **Aggressive Competition** | **Aggressive Growth** | **Build Up Best Competitor** | **Soul of the Agency** |
|  |  |  |  |
| 4. Weak Competitive Position | **Aggressive Divestment** | **Build Strength or Sell Out** | **Orderly Divestment** | **Foreign Aid, Joint Venture** |
|  |  |  |  |

Using the MacMillan Product Matrix, we found the following LOBs to cut and build up:

| MacMillan Matrix Ideas | |
| --- | --- |
| * Cut new work reading series * Ramp up education programs * Increase program of work to increase subscriptions * Improve strategies for scholar events | * Report dramaturgical research and audience impact findings to funders * Invite teachers and students to performances that feature scholars * Ramp up programming for audiences under 35 |

URTurn: Complete the chart below with what your agency wants to stop and fix. Once you’ve done this, delete the example table above and these directions.

| MacMillan Matrix Ideas | |
| --- | --- |
|  |  |

#### Great Questions

##### Schumpeter Five

The great Joseph Schumpeter[[11]](#endnote-11) who coined the term creative destruction offers five categories of questions that are valuable for generating ideas:

1. Creating a new or improved product, service, or program – one with which the users are not yet familiar.

2. Introducing a new or improved strategy or method of operating [including] how the product, service, or program is designed, tested, produced, and assessed.

3. Reaching a new market, serving an unmet need – making a product available to a group that did not otherwise have access to it.

4. Tapping into a new source of supply or labor.

5. Establishing a new industrial or organizational structure [including] mergers, spinoffs, alliances, and other contractual arrangements.[[12]](#endnote-12)

Using these five questions, we came up with the following ideas:

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| Schumpeter Five | |
| New or improved product, service or program | * Improved student programs * Improved new works program * New scholar programs |
| New or improved strategy or method of operating | * Better marketing to support new works * Hire a PR firm for increased visibility – local and nationally * Formalizing audience feedback and surveys |
| New market | * Working with history classes, as well as drama classes * Wine and theatre pairing events |
| New source of supply or labor | * Working with DePaul and other local universities to create work-study programs and internship opportunities |
| New organization structure | * Looking for additional venues to rent space from to expand our season of work |

URTurn: Brainstorm ideas for answers to Schumpeter’s questions and fill in the table below. Then delete the chart above and these directions.

| Schumpeter Five | |
| --- | --- |
| New or improved product, service or program |  |
| New or improved strategy or method of operating |  |
| New market |  |
| New source of supply or labor |  |
| New organization structure |  |

##### Ansoff Four

Another tool for our ideation is the Ansoff matrix,[[13]](#endnote-13) which stimulates ideas by considering opportunities around the table’s four quadrants. Additionally, it’s helpful to think about the quadrants in the form of questions. Joseph Schumpeter suggests considering what your organization can create, improve, reach, tap, and establish.[[14]](#endnote-14)

|  | Ansoff Four Ideas | |
| --- | --- | --- |
|  | Current products | New products |
| Current Markets | **Market Penetration** | **Product Development** |
| * Increase annual productions * Expand education programs * Apply for more funding/sponsorship * Expand young audience programming | * Festival around historical holidays * Student matinees * Digital study guides and playbills * Resource center for further study |
| New  Markets | **Market Development** | **Diversification** |
| * Build a larger theatre in a new neighborhood | * Partner with universities * Screen films inspired by history * Start a playwriting contest * Build neighborhood partnerships * Create student productions * Start a theatre camp * Sell vintage clothes |

URTurn: Complete the chart below. Once you’ve done this, delete the example table above and these directions.

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
|  | Ansoff Four Ideas | |
|  | Current Products | New Products |
| Current Markets | **Market Penetration** | **Product Development** |
|  |  |
| New  Markets | **Market Development** | **Diversification** |
|  |  |

When looking at the results of the Ansoff Matrix, it’s clear that the ideas generated in market penetration are low hanging-fruit. However, market development and product development are the “sweet spot” of our ideation.[[15]](#endnote-15) This is because the ideas in this quadrant are much easier than diversification, but still allow our organization to branch out.

##### Drucker Two

Peter Drucker uses two key questions when he, “genuinely entrepreneurial businesses have two ‘first pages’ – a problem page and an opportunity page – and managers spend equal time on both.”[[16]](#endnote-16) **Put simply, *what holds you back* and *what takes you forward*?**” Below are the dozens of ideas that we generated using these questions and the BAM tool:

| Ideas\* | Vision Ideas |
| --- | --- |
| * increase administration space; make exterior look more like a theatre; generate a larger audience by having more seats to sell; increase season of work; add more shows to meet demand: increase available seating; implement a box office; obtain a liquor license; create a resource center for students/life-long learners; expand current programming; build a larger theatre in a new area; gain new donors through a capital campaign (19) | A New Home |
| * go after a Regional Tony Award; increase advertising; strengthen branding; advertise Jeff Awards; promote strength of artistic staff; leverage reputation as the only theatre devoted to plays inspired by history; tour productions; report dramaturgical research and audience impact findings to funders (17) | Strengthen Reputation |
| * create a festival of plays around a historical holiday * partner with other social/health causes * begin an annual playwriting competition (15) | Extraordinary Events |
| * search for new hires with diverse backgrounds; cut new work reading series; increase program of work to increase subscriptions * identify additional funding opportunities; raise endowment funds; provide annual reports to funders; invite major funders to opening performances and rehearsals; * ask bigger theatres for advice; partner with other storefront theatres on events to lessen financial burdens (15) | Get in the Black |
| * cut unnecessary programs; implement staff incentives; create a structured volunteer program; hire additional interns; invest in HR, develop employee reviews (10) | Prevent Staff Burnout |

\* Participants used a type of voting (multi-voting) to prioritize the results shown parentheses.

URTurn: Complete the SWOT Simple 2 and then complete the chart below. Once you’ve done this, delete the example table above and these directions.

| Drucker Two Ideas | |
| --- | --- |
| Ideas (Affinity Grouped)\* | Vision Ideas |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |

\* Participants used a type of voting (multi-voting) to prioritize the results shown parentheses.

### 

### Statement

Based on all of our brainstorming and the results of the voting in the BAM process, we can identify the strategic priorities of our organization and are able to define a vision that feels right for our organization. The following statement will be our “guidepost showing the way”[[17]](#endnote-17) and direct our efforts as we narrow down our ideas and create our strategies:

**A preeminent nationally recognized   
Chicago arts organization**

URTurn: Create your new vision statement, delete the statements above, and replace it with your own.

## Vision Ideas

### Collect

Now that we have used four tools to ideate, the next step is to collect the credible ideas from that process. The chart below lists several achievable, yet idealistic suggestions:

| All Ideas | |
| --- | --- |
| * A new venue * Advertise subscriptions * Ask bigger theatres for advice * Become part of the citywide cultural plan * Cut new work reading series * Cut unnecessary LOBs * Festival around historical holiday * History trivia nights * Identify low-cost, low-staff LOBs * Identify PR opportunities to capitalize on being the only theatre solely dedicated to presenting plays inspired by shared history * Implement staff incentives * Improve strategies for scholar and young audience programming * Increase season offerings | * Partner with universities and city colleges * Apply for more funding * Patron/student blog * Revamp education program * Seek additional sponsorships * Set up patron resource center to visit the theatre outside of scheduled shows to encourage further learning * Start a theatre camp * Start an administration volunteer program * Strengthen reputation * Student matinees * Tour productions * Update box office and ticketing system * Update website * Late night historical satire * Partner with other causes |

URTurn: Complete the chart below. Once you’ve done this, delete the example table above and these directions.

| All Ideas | |
| --- | --- |
|  |  |

### Evaluate

It turns out that decisions made quickly can be as valuable as decisions made cautiously and deliberately.[[18]](#endnote-18) Therefore, intuition is the first step in evaluating the credible ideas generated in the collection process. We we were able to cut our list of ideas in half in the chart below:

#### First Cut

| First Cut | |
| --- | --- |
| * A new venue * Festival around historical holiday * Increase season offerings * Strengthen reputation * Cut unnecessary LOBs * Partner with other causes | * Student matinees * Start an administration volunteer program * Become part of the citywide cultural plan * Identify PR opportunities * Late night historical satire * Obtain more funding |

URTurn: Complete the chart below. Once you’ve done this, delete the example table above and these directions.

| First Cut | |
| --- | --- |
|  |  |

#### 

#### Contenders

The Contenders process puts our top ideas into the Payoff Matrix.[[19]](#endnote-19) The four quadrants in the chart below separate ideas based on big or small pay-off and easy or hard to implement. As a result, our organization chose six contenders (bolded and italicized in the chart below). These ideas represent a mix of easy and hard to do, but all with a big pay-off.

|  | Contenders | |
| --- | --- | --- |
|  | Hard to Do | Easy to Do |
| Big  Pay-off | * ***A new venue*** * ***Festival around historical holiday*** * ***Increase season offerings*** * Strengthen reputation * Partner with other causes * Obtain more funding | * ***Cut unnecessary LOBs*** * ***Student matinees*** * ***Start an administration volunteer*** * ***program*** * Identify PR opportunities |
| Small Pay-off | * None | * Late night historical satire * Work with the city to become part of the citywide cultural plan |

URTurn: Complete the chart below and remember to put your six contenders in bold and italics. If you need help formatting your text, call Mark or one of the coaches. Once you’ve completed the chart, delete these instructions.

|  | Contenders | |
| --- | --- | --- |
|  | Hard to Do | Easy to Do |
| Big  Payoff |  |  |
| Small Payoff |  |  |

#### Finalists

To select six finalists, we narrowed down the contenders and selected only ones expected to deliver a big pay-off. Reaching beyond intuition, our organization used the Weighted Decision Matrix[[20]](#endnote-20) to measure the finalists:

| Finalists | | | | | | | |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| Criteria | WT | **A New Home** | **Student Matinees** | **Increase**  **PR** | **Cut  LOBs** | **Admin. Volunteer Program** | **Historical Holiday Festival** |
| Serves our primary customers | 5 | 20 | 15 | 15 | 25 | 5 | 25 |
| Supports their transformation | 5 | 25 | 25 | 25 | 5 | 10 | 25 |
| Plays to competitive advantage | 4 | 4 | 20 | 4 | 12 | 4 | 20 |
| Profitable | 3 | 15 | 9 | 12 | 15 | 15 | 15 |
| Fundable | 4 | 20 | 20 | 4 | 4 | 4 | 20 |
| Achievable | 3 | 12 | 12 | 12 | 15 | 12 | 12 |
| Total | | 96 | 101 | 72 | 76 | 50 | 117 |

URTurn: Use the [decision matrix template](http://www.firstlightgroup.com/Resources-Presentations/MPS529%20Strategic%20Management/Templates/Weighted%20Decision%20Matrix%20Tool.xlsx) to complete the final chart for this report below. Once you’ve done this, delete the example table above and these directions.

| Finalists | | | | | | | |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| Criteria | WT | **Put Short Strategy Name Here** | **Put Short Strategy Name Here** | **Put Short Strategy Name Here** | **Put Short Strategy Name Here** | **Put Short Strategy Name Here** | **Put Short Strategy Name Here** |
| Serves our primary customers |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Supports their transformation |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Plays to competitive advantage |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Total | |  |  |  |  |  |  |

## Great Ideas Summary

We used four tools to ideate and four methods to evaluate to find three great ideas.

These ideas promote our new vision and are likely to strengthen our organization. Furthermore, they are pragmatic enough to achieve and idealistic enough to incentivize action, but these three new ideas must mesh with our current strategies underway and also pass the testing in Great Strategies:

* **A festival around a historical holiday** – the theatre imagines an outdoor summer festival on July 4th weekend. The organization is excited about exploring this because of the potential outreach to new audiences and PR opportunities.
* **Perform student matinees** – Student matinees would simply remount a production from the theatre’s season and allow the organization to have a greater impact with Chicago Public School (CPS) history students.
* **Build a new and better home** – This strategy would better serve its growing audience and provide a platform more appropriate for the theatre’s vision.

URTurn: Edit or replace the three ideas above with your own and delete these instructions.

References

Ansoff, H. I. (1957). Strategies for diversification. *Harvard Business Review, 35*(5), 113-124.

Bennis, W. G. (1989). *On becoming a leader*. Reading, PA: Addison-Wesley.

Bryson, J. M. (2011). *Strategic planning for public and nonprofit organizations : a guide to strengthening and sustaining organizational achievement* (4th ed.). San Francisco: Jossey-Bass.

Dees, J. G. (2001). Mastering the art of innovation. In J. G. Dees, P. Economy, & J. Emerson (Eds.), *Enterprising nonprofits: A toolkit for social entrepreneurs* (pp. 161-197). New York: Wiley.

Drucker, P. F. (1985). The discipline of innovation. *Harvard Business Review, 63*(3), 67-72.

Light, M. (2017). *Sustainable Strategy*. Chicago: First Light Group LLC.

MacMillan, I. C. (1983). Competitive strategies for not-for-profit organizations. *Advances in Strategic Management, 1*, 61-82.

Nanus, B. (1992). *Visionary leadership: Creating a compelling sense of direction for your organization* (1st ed.). San Francisco: Jossey-Bass.

Porter, M. E. (1996). What is strategy? *Harvard Business Review, 74*(6), 61-78.

Schumpeter, J. A. (1983). *The theory of economic development: An inquiry into profits, capital, credit, interest, and the business cycle*. New Brunswick, N.J.: Transaction Books.

Ulrich, D., Kerr, S., & Ashkenas, R. N. (2002). *The GE work-out: How to implement GE's revolutionary method for busting bureaucracy and attacking organizational problems--fast!* New York: McGraw-Hill.

Endnotes

1. (Bennis, 1989, p. 194) [↑](#endnote-ref-1)
2. (Light, 2017) [↑](#endnote-ref-2)
3. (Light, 2017) [↑](#endnote-ref-3)
4. (Light, 2017) [↑](#endnote-ref-4)
5. (Light, 2017) [↑](#endnote-ref-5)
6. (Bryson, 2011, p. 408) [↑](#endnote-ref-6)
7. (Light, 2017) [↑](#endnote-ref-7)
8. (Porter, 1996) [↑](#endnote-ref-8)
9. (MacMillan, 1983, p. 81) [↑](#endnote-ref-9)
10. (MacMillan, 1983) [↑](#endnote-ref-10)
11. (Schumpeter, 1983) [↑](#endnote-ref-11)
12. (Dees, 2001, p. 163) [↑](#endnote-ref-12)
13. (Anso**f**f, 1957) [↑](#endnote-ref-13)
14. (Schumpeter, 1983) [↑](#endnote-ref-14)
15. (Light, 2017) [↑](#endnote-ref-15)
16. (Drucker, 1985, p. 68) [↑](#endnote-ref-16)
17. (Nanus, 1992-9) [↑](#endnote-ref-17)
18. (Light, 2017) [↑](#endnote-ref-18)
19. (Ulrich, Kerr, & Ashkenas, 2002) [↑](#endnote-ref-19)
20. (Nanus, 1992) [↑](#endnote-ref-20)