

Great Start Evaluation April 7, 2017

- 1. I am a:
 - Program Staff (1 respondent)
 - Executive Staff (5 respondents)
 - Board Member (3 respondents)
 - Other (1 respondent) Development Director
- 2. How did you learn about this training?
 - Email/Newsletter (5 respondents)
 - Other (5 respondents)
 - CCT/my ED
 - Co-worker
 - Executive Director
 - Supervisor
 - My Executive Director
- 3. Indicate your level of agreement with the statements listed below (5=Strongly Agree; 4=Agree; 3=Neutral; 2=Disagree; 1=Strongly Disagree):
 - a) The training met my expectations. (Avg 4.7)
 - b) I know more about this topic now than I did before training. (Avg 4.7)
 - c) I will be able to apply the knowledge learned. (Avg 4.6)
 - d) The training objectives for each topic were identified and followed. (Avg 4.9)
 - e) The content was organized and easy to follow. (Avg 4.7)
 - f) The materials distributed were pertinent and useful. (Avg 4.9)
 - g) The trainer was knowledgeable. (Avg 5)
 - h) The quality of instruction was good. (Avg 5)
 - i) The trainer met the training objectives. (Avg 5)
 - j) Class participation and interaction were encouraged. (Avg 5)
 - k) The trainer fostered an atmosphere of mutual respect and cultural sensitivity.(Avg 5)
 - I) Adequate time was provided for questions and discussion. (Avg 4.7)
- 4. How do you rate the training overall (scale of 1-5 with 5 being excellent)? (Avg 4.9)
- 5. What did you like most about the training?
 - Videos interiected to illustrate concepts
 - It was interactive
 - Very good trainer, good materials, and interactive presentation. Learned a lot and plan to use what learned at my organization.
 - Organization and presentation.
 - New tools/approaches to thinking about the "start"
 - Nice mix of presentation. Presenter was animated, funny, and lively. Didn't get bored. The promised templates are anxiety reducing.
 - Mark!
 - Informative, provide tools I can use at work.
 - Mark's enthusiastic facilitation.
 - Clear, concise, fun

- 6. What aspects of the training could be improved?
 - The financial part went too fast for me.
 - Outline preview with goals; scope of project.
 - Overall great
 - Sometimes the slides were so crowded a little hard to follow.
 - Nothing
- 7. Other comments?
 - Appreciate the opportunity to participate.
 - None.
 - Videos were great.
- 8. What suggestions or ideas do you have about future trainings from The Learning Center?
 - Board centered training.
 - Very good training/helpful.
 - None at the moment.
 - Insight on tools that can be used to manage; take away tools and resources.



Great Ideas Evaluation April 20, 2017

- 1. I am a:
 - Program Staff (2 respondents)
 - Executive Staff (4 respondents)
 - Board Member (2 respondents)
 - Other (1 respondent) Service Provider Staff
- 2. How did you learn about this training?
 - Flyer (0 respondents)
 - Email/Newsletter (2 respondents)
 - Other (7 respondents)
 - My boss
 - o CCT
 - All Chicago
 - o All Chicago Invite
 - o All Chicago
 - Executive Director of Agency
 - My Executive Director
- 2. Indicate your level of agreement with the statements listed below: (5=Strongly Agree; 4=Agree; 3=Neutral; 2=Disagree; 1=Strongly Disagree):
 - a) The training met my expectations. (Avg 4.5)
 - b) I know more about this topic now than I did before training. (Avg 4.4)
 - c) I will be able to apply the knowledge learned. (Avg 4.7)
 - d) The training objectives for each topic were identified and followed.
 (Avg 4.5)
 - e) The content was organized and easy to follow. (Avg 4.3)
 - f) The materials distributed were pertinent and useful. (Avg 4.6)
 - g) The trainer was knowledgeable. (Avg 5)
 - h) The quality of instruction was good. (Avg 4.8)
 - i) The trainer met the training objectives. (Avg 4.8)
 - i) Class participation and interaction were encouraged. (Avg 5)
 - k) The trainer fostered an atmosphere of mutual respect and cultural sensitivity.(Avg 4.9)
 - I) Adequate time was provided for questions and discussion. (Avg 4.5)
- 3. How do you rate the training overall (scale of 1-5 with 5 being excellent)? (Avg 4.8)
- 4. What did you like most about the training?
 - Everything, visual, auditory learning, examples via video as well as written piece.
 - The templates provided
 - Very organized love trainer
 - Engagement is great
 - Pushed me out of my comfort zone
 - Presentation and available resources.
 - Mark's energy and new content.
 - Trainer is very helpful

- 5. What aspects of the training could be improved?
 - I got lost during this session and needed more time to absorb the information.
 Trainer kept saying we had done a lot of the work during the session, but I didn't feel my team had.
 - Room air needed; water
 - Nothing
- 6. Other comments?
 - Really great trainer
 - Length of day?
 - Great, informative, and challenging in a good way
 - It's a lot of information in a short time. Somewhat overwhelming, but overall, quite excellent
- 7. What suggestions or ideas do you have about future trainings from The Learning Center?
 - Board training or coaching
 - Wish we had more time with Mark and my team they are great
 - Nothing



Great Strategies-Strategic Plan - May 5, 2017

I am a:

- Program Staff (2 respondents)
- Executive Staff (2 respondents)

How did you learn about this training?

- Other (4 respondents)
 - o Co-worker
 - o All Chicago Invite
 - o Supervisor
 - Originally from All Chicago;
 Currently 3rd Session at ongoing seminar

Indicate your level of agreement with the statements listed below: 5=Strongly Agree; 4=Agree; 3=Neutral; 2=Disagree; 1=Strongly Disagree

- a) The training met my expectations. (Average Rating: 4.2)
- b) I know more about this topic now than I did before training. (Average Rating: 4.6)
- c) I will be able to apply the knowledge learned. (Average Rating: 4.4)
- d) The training objectives for each topic were identified and followed.
 (Average Rating: 4.8)
- e) The content was organized and easy to follow. (Average Rating: 4.6)
- f) The materials distributed were pertinent and useful. (Average Rating: 5)
- g) The trainer was knowledgeable. (Average Rating: 5)
- h) The quality of instruction was good. (Average Rating: 4.8)
- i) The trainer met the training objectives. (Average Rating: 4.8)
- j) Class participation and interaction were encouraged. (Average Rating: 5)
- k) The trainer fostered an atmosphere of mutual respect and cultural sensitivity. (Average Rating: 5)
- Adequate time was provided for questions and discussion. (Average Rating: 4.2)

How do you rate the training overall (scale of 1-5 with 5 being excellent)? (Average Rating: 4.7)

What did you like most about the training?

- Organized; stayed on topic
- Lot of information
- Organization of the material and templates provided.

What aspects of the training could be improved?

- Give more time for small group work.
- Too quick
- Each of the sessions are going at lightning speed. Hard too digest unless you get to the exercises within one or two days after the session.

Other comments?

None

What suggestions or ideas do you have about future trainings from The Learning Center?

• Spread out.



Great to Go – May 18, 2017

I am a:

- Program Staff (1 respondents)
- Administrative Staff (0 respondents)
- Data Entry Staff (0 respondents)
- Executive Staff (5 respondents)
- Board Member (2 respondents)

How did you learn about this training?

- Email/Newsletter (4 respondents)
- Other (4 respondents)

Indicate your level of agreement with the statements listed below: 5=Strongly Agree; 4=Agree; 3=Neutral; 2=Disagree; 1=Strongly Disagree

- a) The training met my expectations. (Average Rating: 4.6)
- b) I know more about this topic now than I did before training. (Average Rating: 4.8)
- c) I will be able to apply the knowledge learned. (Average Rating: 4.9)
- d) The training objectives for each topic were identified and followed. (Average Rating: 4.6)
- e) The content was organized and easy to follow. (Average Rating: 4.3)
- f) The materials distributed were pertinent and useful. (Average Rating: 4.6)
- g) The trainer was knowledgeable. (Average Rating: 4.9)
- h) The quality of instruction was good. (Average Rating: 4.9)
- i) The trainer met the training objectives. (Average Rating: 4.9)
- j) Class participation and interaction were encouraged. (Average Rating: 4.8)
- k) The trainer fostered an atmosphere of mutual respect and cultural sensitivity. (Average Rating: 4.9)
- Adequate time was provided for questions and discussion. (Average Rating: 4.4

 with a note from one responder "Need much more time for entire process")

How do you rate the training overall (scale of 1-5 with 5 being excellent)? (Average Rating: 4.9)

What did you like most about the training?

- Very informative and good chance to meet w/ peers and get to know each other
- Mark's knowledge and method of teaching
- Training went beyond creating strategic plan to presentation methods that will engage stakeholders in implementing plan.
- The templates allowed me to get through workshops by applying workshop topics. Like guided instruction.
- The new tools for understanding how to evaluate internal opportunities
- Learning and <u>owning</u> the steps around strategic planning
- Focus on strategic thinking tools for strategic thinking and planning

What aspects of the training could be improved?

- More time
- More time in between sessions
- More time between sessions.
- We needed more time to get the homework done between sessions.
- More time to do the homework between sessions
- More sessions spread it out
- Need for clarity on depth and intensity of homework and more time in between sessions – especially when the senior leadership is in the program/project

Other comments?

- Offer more opportunities to learn and grow
- The funders on the panel weren't clear about their role or about the format of the workshop series. Therefore their feedback wasn't as relative as it could have been.
- Too much crammed into first 3 sessions
- Great collegial approach; love Mark's sense of humor; translate corporate terminology into service sector lingo.

What suggestions or ideas do you have about future trainings from The Learning Center?

- Have 2 different Prezi templates! One for the strategic planning and another for a 5-minute elevator pitch that covers impact.
- Repeat for other organizations