

Great to Go – May 18, 2017

I am a:

* Program Staff (1 respondents)
* Administrative Staff (0 respondents)
* Data Entry Staff (0 respondents)
* Executive Staff (5 respondents)
* Board Member (2 respondents)

How did you learn about this training?

* Email/Newsletter (4 respondents)
* Other (4 respondents)

Indicate your level of agreement with the statements listed below:

*5=Strongly Agree; 4=Agree; 3=Neutral; 2=Disagree; 1=Strongly Disagree*

1. The training met my expectations. **(Average Rating: 4.6)**
2. I know more about this topic now than I did before training. **(Average Rating: 4.8)**
3. I will be able to apply the knowledge learned. **(Average Rating: 4.9)**
4. The training objectives for each topic were identified and followed.   
   **(Average Rating: 4.6)**
5. The content was organized and easy to follow. **(Average Rating: 4.3)**
6. The materials distributed were pertinent and useful. **(Average Rating: 4.6)**
7. The trainer was knowledgeable. **(Average Rating: 4.9)**
8. The quality of instruction was good. **(Average Rating: 4.9)**
9. The trainer met the training objectives. **(Average Rating: 4.9)**
10. Class participation and interaction were encouraged. **(Average Rating: 4.8)**
11. The trainer fostered an atmosphere of mutual respect and cultural sensitivity.   
    **(Average Rating: 4.9)**
12. Adequate time was provided for questions and discussion. **(Average Rating: 4.4 – with a note from one responder “Need much more time for entire process”)**

How do you rate the training overall (scale of 1-5 with 5 being excellent)? **(Average Rating: 4.9)**

What did you like most about the training?

* Very informative and good chance to meet w/ peers and get to know each other
* Mark’s knowledge and method of teaching
* Training went beyond creating strategic plan to presentation methods that will engage stakeholders in implementing plan.
* The templates allowed me to get through workshops by applying workshop topics. Like guided instruction.
* The new tools for understanding how to evaluate internal opportunities
* Learning and owningthe steps around strategic planning
* Focus on strategic thinking – tools for strategic thinking and planning

What aspects of the training could be improved?

* More time
* More time in between sessions
* More time between sessions.
* We needed more time to get the homework done between sessions.
* More time to do the homework between sessions
* More sessions – spread it out
* Need for clarity on depth and intensity of homework and more time in between sessions – especially when the senior leadership is in the program/project

Other comments?

* Offer more opportunities to learn and grow
* The funders on the panel weren’t clear about their role or about the format of the workshop series. Therefore their feedback wasn’t as relative as it could have been.
* Too much crammed into first 3 sessions
* Great collegial approach; love Mark’s sense of humor; translate corporate terminology into service sector lingo.

What suggestions or ideas do you have about future trainings from The Learning Center?

* Have 2 different Prezi templates! One for the strategic planning and another for a 5-minute elevator pitch that covers impact.
* Repeat for other organizations